Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/020,743	MACK, DAVID H		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jeffrey Siew	1656		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Jeffrey Siew</u> .	(3)			
(2) Mr. Joe Liebeschuetz.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 21 March 2001.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	e)☐ applicant's representativ	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-10,12-34 and 36-52</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)[	□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: discussed applicants arg				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se checked).	parate record of the substanc	e of the interview(if box is		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
	•			
4				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required		

Interview Summary	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/020,743		MACK, DAVID H	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jeffrey Siew	<i>'</i>	1656	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	) personnel):			
(1) <u>Jeffrey Siew</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Joseph Leibeschuetz</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 26 March 2001.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applican	it's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊡ No.			·
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-10,12-34 &amp; 36-52</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>discussed and agreed to requirement for sequence compliance &amp; examiner's amendment</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the am			
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a schecked).	separate record	of the substance	e of the interview	(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
	,			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signa	ature, if required	